IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DANA L. TARQUINI,
Plaintiff,

v. : CIVIL NO. 09-492

.

DAVID J. GORBERG, ESQUIRE, and DAVID J. GORBERG, P.C., Defendants. :

ORDER

AND NOW, this 9th day of September, 2010, upon consideration of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. No. 16], Plaintiff's Response and Cross-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Doc. No. 17], Defendants' Reply [Doc. No. 20] and Plaintiff's Sur-reply [Doc. No. 21], and for the reasons stated in the attached Memorandum Opinion, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

- 1) Defendants' Motion is **DENIED**; and
- 2) Plaintiff's Cross-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment is **GRANTED**. If found to be otherwise meritorious, Plaintiff will not have to prove special damages to succeed in her claim.

It is so **ORDERED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Cynthia M. Rufe

CYNTHIA M. RUFE, J.